

IICA-GCF Green Climate Fund (GCF) Readiness Support Project

“Strengthening the foundation for a climate responsive agricultural sector in the Caribbean”

Assessment Report (Final Draft): Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Annex 2 - Frequency Tables for GCF Specific Questions That Were Summarised

Submitted May 09, 2022



Complete Frequency Tables for GCF Specific Questions

(ii) Which is your preferred language?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Dutch	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
	English	37	90.2	90.2	92.7
	French	3	7.3	7.3	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.1) Country / Territory:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Belize	8	19.5	19.5	19.5
	Dominica	8	19.5	19.5	39.0
	Haiti	3	7.3	7.3	46.3
	Saint Kitts and Nevis	7	17.1	17.1	63.4
	Saint Lucia	5	12.2	12.2	75.6
	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	2	4.9	4.9	80.5
	Suriname	2	4.9	4.9	85.4
	The Bahamas	4	9.8	9.8	95.1
	United States	2	4.9	4.9	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.2 RECODE Sector Represented

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agri & Climate Change	8	19.5	19.5	19.5
	Agriculture	14	34.1	34.1	53.7
	Climate Change	8	19.5	19.5	73.2
	Other	11	26.8	26.8	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.2 New Sector Represented Recode

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Agriculture	25	61.0	61.0	61.0
Climate Change	16	39.0	39.0	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.3) Does your organization have both male and female members?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
Yes	40	97.6	97.6	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.4) Does your organization have a gender policy?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Do not know	5	12.2	12.2	12.2
No	19	46.3	46.3	58.5
Yes	17	41.5	41.5	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.5) How would you describe your gender identity?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Female	19	46.3	46.3	46.3
Male	19	46.3	46.3	92.7
Non-Binary	2	4.9	4.9	97.6
Prefer not to say	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.6) Is working with other agencies typically an important part of your professional role in your organization?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Extremely involved with other agencies	26	63.4	63.4	63.4
	Limited involvement with other agencies	2	4.9	4.9	68.3
	Often involved with other agencies	11	26.8	26.8	95.1
	Some involvement with other agencies	2	4.9	4.9	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.7) How would you best categorize your organization in the agriculture/climate change sectors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Business (input suppliers, equipment suppliers)	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
Donors	2	4.9	4.9	7.3
Farmer's Association or Farmer's Group representative/enhanced farmer coordination	1	2.4	2.4	9.8
Government (local)	3	7.3	7.3	17.1
Government (national)	17	41.5	41.5	58.5
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS)	4	9.8	9.8	68.3
International organizations	5	12.2	12.2	80.5
Local financial Institutions (development banks, credit unions)	1	2.4	2.4	82.9
Other umbrella organizations (processor associations, coalitions, Chamber of Commerce etc.)	1	2.4	2.4	85.4
Science, academia and research centres (College, University, Training institute)	4	9.8	9.8	95.1
Service providers (Consultants- engineering, management)	2	4.9	4.9	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.8a) To what extent are YOU involved in processes, projects, programmes, activities linked to the GCF?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Uninvolved	12	29.3	29.3	29.3
Neutral	10	24.4	24.4	53.7
Involved	12	29.3	29.3	82.9
Extremely Involved	7	17.1	17.1	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.8b) To what extent are YOU involved in other broader climate change processes, for example the NDCs, NAPs or other efforts to address climate change in your country?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Extremely Uninvolved	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
Uninvolved	7	17.1	17.1	19.5
Neutral	11	26.8	26.8	46.3
Involved	10	24.4	24.4	70.7
Extremely Involved	12	29.3	29.3	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.9a) Has your ORGANISATION been involved in any GCF-financed projects/programmes/activities?

(ASKING SPECIFICALLY ABOUT GCF HERE.) If NO, SKIP TO QUESTION 1.10;

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	41	100.0	100.0	100.0

1.9b) If yes, please describe the main role typically played by your organisation in GCF-financed projects. (ASKING SPECIFICALLY ABOUT GCF HERE.)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Building political acceptability (e.g. MP/Minister)	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
Developing technical and non-technical innovation (e.g. Consultant)	2	4.9	4.9	7.3
Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution)	3	7.3	7.3	14.6
Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Developing technical and non-	1	2.4	2.4	17.1
Fostering capacity building, qualifications (e.g training institution);Providing funds for investmen	1	2.4	2.4	19.5
Intra-Ministerial assistance with valued information for the Implementing Entity	1	2.4	2.4	22.0
Representation of beneficiaries from women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples	1	2.4	2.4	24.4
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder)	22	53.7	53.7	78.0
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Developing tech	1	2.4	2.4	80.5
Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project (key stakeholder);Fostering capac	8	19.5	19.5	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.9c) What is the nature of your involvement in GCF-financed projects?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Consultation	9	22.0	22.0	22.0
Consultation;Information dissemination	2	4.9	4.9	26.8
Consultation;Prioritization proceses	3	7.3	7.3	34.1
Do not know/Not sure	2	4.9	4.9	39.0
I am not directly involved in any GCF projects	1	2.4	2.4	41.5
Information dissemination	5	12.2	12.2	53.7
monitoring implementation of GCFfunded projects	1	2.4	2.4	56.1
observing gender considerations	1	2.4	2.4	58.5
Participation is currently at a preliminary stage. The bank is a recipient of a GCF readiness grant	1	2.4	2.4	61.0
primary data source in concept formulation	1	2.4	2.4	63.4
Prioritization proceses	4	9.8	9.8	73.2
Training	4	9.8	9.8	82.9
Training;Consultation;Information dissemination	2	4.9	4.9	87.8
Training;Consultation;Prioritization proceses;Information dissemination	2	4.9	4.9	92.7
Training;grant award selection Monitoring and evaluation	1	2.4	2.4	95.1
Training;Information dissemination	2	4.9	4.9	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.9d) Are Agricultural SECTOR PRIORITIES typically incorporated INTO NATIONAL climate change priorities in their design and implementation phases?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Don't know / Not Sure	2	4.9	4.9	4.9
Extremely incorporated	12	29.3	29.3	34.1
Limited incorporation	1	2.4	2.4	36.6
Not incorporated	2	4.9	4.9	41.5
Often incorporated	13	31.7	31.7	73.2
Some incorporation	11	26.8	26.8	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.9e) Are NATIONAL CLIMATE CHANGE PRIORITIES typically incorporated INTO agricultural programmes, activities and strategies? implementing projects/programmes/activities in the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Extremely incorporated	6	14.6	14.6	14.6
Limited incorporation	5	12.2	12.2	26.8
Not incorporated	1	2.4	2.4	29.3
Often incorporated	15	36.6	36.6	65.9
Some incorporation	14	34.1	34.1	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.9f) In your opinion, how important is your organization's attendance at consultations/meetings on implementing GCF-related activities?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Not Important	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
Slightly Important	4	9.8	9.8	12.2
Important	4	9.8	9.8	22.0
Moderately Important	17	41.5	41.5	63.4
Extremely Important	15	36.6	36.6	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.10) At what stage(s) does your organization typically get involved in projects/programmes/activities in both climate change and agriculture?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Development / Deliberation;Implementation / Operation	2	4.9	4.9	4.9
	Do not know/Not sure	1	2.4	2.4	7.3
	Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies)	8	19.5	19.5	26.8
	Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation	2	4.9	4.9	31.7
	Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Evaluati	4	9.8	9.8	41.5
	Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Implemen	13	31.7	31.7	73.2
	Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Development / Deliberation;Training	1	2.4	2.4	75.6
	Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Implementation / Operation	2	4.9	4.9	80.5
	Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Implementation / Operation;Evaluati	1	2.4	2.4	82.9
	Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Implementation / Operation;Througho	1	2.4	2.4	85.4
	Early stages (conception, planning, design, feasibility studies);Throughout the process (oversight,	1	2.4	2.4	87.8
	Implementation / Operation	3	7.3	7.3	95.1
	Implementation / Operation;Training	1	2.4	2.4	97.6
	Throughout the process (oversight, financing)	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.11) Can you select from below the types of organisations you typically communicate with as part of projects/programmes/activities undertaken in the agricultural sector (including fisheries), forestry, climate change adaptation or if relevant GCF projects in work-related matters?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Farmer's Association/Farmer's Group;Donors;Science, academia and research centres;Parliamentarians	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
Farmer's Association/Farmer's Group;Local financial institutions (development banks, credit unions,	1	2.4	2.4	4.9
Government - local level;Regulators (economic, environmental);Farmer's Association/Farmer's Group;Lo	1	2.4	2.4	7.3
Government - national level	2	4.9	4.9	12.2
Government - national level;Farmer's Association/Farmer's Group	1	2.4	2.4	14.6
Government - national level;Farmer's Association/Farmer's Group;Donors;International organisations	1	2.4	2.4	17.1
Government - national level;Farmer's Association/Farmer's Group;Donors;Science, academia and researc	1	2.4	2.4	19.5
Government - national level;Government - local level;Regulators (economic, environmental);Business;F	1	2.4	2.4	22.0
Government - national level;Government - regional level;Government - local level;Regulators (economi	2	4.9	4.9	26.8
Government - national level;Regulators (economic, environmental);Business;Farmer's Association/Farme	3	7.3	7.3	34.1
Government - national level;Regulators (economic, environmental);Farmer's Association/Farmer's Group	1	2.4	2.4	36.6
Government - regional level	1	2.4	2.4	39.0
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - local level;Business;Donors;Media;International orga	1	2.4	2.4	41.5
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - local level;Farmer's Association/Farmer's Group	1	2.4	2.4	43.9
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - local level;Farmer's Association/Farmer's Group;Dono	1	2.4	2.4	46.3
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - national level	1	2.4	2.4	48.8
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - national level;Donors;International financial instit	1	2.4	2.4	51.2
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - national level;Farmer's Association/Farmer's Group;D	1	2.4	2.4	53.7
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - national level;Farmer's Association/Farmer's Group;l	1	2.4	2.4	56.1
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - national level;Farmer's Association/Farmer's Group;M	1	2.4	2.4	58.5
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - national level;Government - local level;Donors;Inter	1	2.4	2.4	61.0
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - national level;Government - local level;Farmer's Ass	3	7.3	7.3	68.3
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - national level;Government - local level;Regulators (1	2.4	2.4	70.7
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - national level;Government - regional level;Farmer's	2	4.9	4.9	75.6
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - national level;Government - regional level;Governmen	6	14.6	14.6	90.2
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - national level;Government - regional level;Internati	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government - national level;Regulators (economic, environmental);	2	4.9	4.9	97.6
International financial institutions	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.12) What are the reasons to initiate projects undertaken in the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation or if relevant GCF agricultural sector projects?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Data driven	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
	Data driven;Consultant recommended;Funding available	1	2.4	2.4	4.9
	Disaster response	2	4.9	4.9	9.8
	Disaster response;Data driven	1	2.4	2.4	12.2
	Disaster response;Data driven;Consultant recommended	1	2.4	2.4	14.6
	Disaster response;Data driven;Consultant recommended;Funding available	1	2.4	2.4	17.1
	Disaster response;Data driven;Funding available	8	19.5	19.5	36.6
	Disaster response;Funding available	1	2.4	2.4	39.0
	Disaster response;Funding available;Agriculture contributes significantly to Belize's economic devel	1	2.4	2.4	41.5
	Disaster response;Funding available;livelihood opportunities created	1	2.4	2.4	43.9
	Disaster response;Funding available;Need for resilience	1	2.4	2.4	46.3
	Do not know/Not sure	1	2.4	2.4	48.8
	Donor interest/pressure;Consultant recommended;Funding available	1	2.4	2.4	51.2
	Donor interest/pressure;Disaster response;Consultant recommended;Funding available	1	2.4	2.4	53.7
	Donor interest/pressure;Disaster response;Data driven;Consultant recommended;Funding available	2	4.9	4.9	58.5
	Donor interest/pressure;Disaster response;Data driven;Funding available	2	4.9	4.9	63.4
	Donor interest/pressure;Disaster response;Funding available	1	2.4	2.4	65.9
	Funding available	3	7.3	7.3	73.2
	National need (environmental, social and economic)	1	2.4	2.4	75.6
	Political influence/pressure;Disaster response;Data driven	1	2.4	2.4	78.0
	Political influence/pressure;Disaster response;Data driven;Consultant recommended;Funding available	1	2.4	2.4	80.5
	Political influence/pressure;Donor interest/pressure	1	2.4	2.4	82.9
	Political influence/pressure;Donor interest/pressure;Consultant recommended	1	2.4	2.4	85.4
	Political influence/pressure;Donor interest/pressure;Disaster response;Data driven	1	2.4	2.4	87.8
	Political influence/pressure;Donor interest/pressure;Disaster response;Data driven;Consultant recomm	1	2.4	2.4	90.2
	Political influence/pressure;Donor interest/pressure;Disaster response;Data driven;Funding available	2	4.9	4.9	95.1
	Political influence/pressure;Donor interest/pressure;Disaster response;Funding available	2	4.9	4.9	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.13) In your opinion, how important is your organization's attendance at consultations/meetings on implementing projects/programmes/activities in the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not Important	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
	Slightly Important	2	4.9	4.9	7.3
	Important	5	12.2	12.2	19.5
	Moderately Important	12	29.3	29.3	48.8
	Extremely Important	21	51.2	51.2	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.14a) How would you describe your organization's perspective or stance in addressing climate change in the agricultural sector, or if relevant GCF projects?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Leading	9	22.0	22.0	22.0
	Supportive	32	78.0	78.0	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

1.14b In your opinion, what factors are responsible for this stance of your organization or stakeholder group mentioned above)?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Agriculture should be a priority on the climate change agenda, as it is critical to food security in	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples	1	2.4	2.4	4.9
Do not know/Not sure	8	19.5	19.5	24.4
Do not understand this question	1	2.4	2.4	26.8
I am unclear with this question	1	2.4	2.4	29.3
It plays a role in our mandate as a department to engage in any environment related activities and p	1	2.4	2.4	31.7
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement	3	7.3	7.3	39.0
Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Elite "capture" (friends of leaders over-repre	1	2.4	2.4	41.5
Lack of political will and leadership;Difficulty to reach certain types of stakeholders (women, marg	1	2.4	2.4	43.9
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Lack of time	1	2.4	2.4	46.3
Lack of political will and leadership;Lack of funding to support stakeholder engagement;Stakeholder	2	4.9	4.9	51.2
Lack of political will and leadership;Political discontinuity or leadership change (turnover of staf	2	4.9	4.9	56.1
Need to take responsibility to improve resilience of the business sector	1	2.4	2.4	58.5
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process	1	2.4	2.4	61.0
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Lack of f	3	7.3	7.3	68.3
No clarity on the expected use of inputs from stakeholders in the decision-making process;Stakehold	2	4.9	4.9	73.2
none of the options are suitable for the answer selected	1	2.4	2.4	75.6
Not usually contacted in concept note development stage	1	2.4	2.4	78.0
Resistance to change	2	4.9	4.9	82.9
Stakeholder consultation "fatigue" (difficulty to maintain motivation);Political discontinuity o	2	4.9	4.9	87.8
Stakeholder consultation "fatigue" (difficulty to maintain motivation);Resistance to change	1	2.4	2.4	90.2
Technical support	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
these are all negative. I hold a positive stance.	1	2.4	2.4	95.1
we have identified in the positive; Drivers are primarily market and legislatively driven.	1	2.4	2.4	97.6
Your options do not apply.	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

2.1) What is the primary way you receive information about the agricultural sector, climate change processes, or if relevant GCF projects?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Emails	4	9.8	9.8	9.8
Emails;Meetings (formal setting)	1	2.4	2.4	12.2
Emails;Meetings (formal setting);Farmer's groups/association meetings;National Consultations;Traditi	1	2.4	2.4	14.6
Emails;Meetings (formal setting);Meetings (informal setting);Farmer's groups/association meetings;Na	2	4.9	4.9	19.5
Emails;Meetings (formal setting);Meetings (informal setting);National Consultations;Online meeting p	1	2.4	2.4	22.0
Emails;Meetings (formal setting);Meetings (informal setting);Online meeting platforms (MS Teams, Sky	1	2.4	2.4	24.4
Emails;Meetings (formal setting);National Consultations	1	2.4	2.4	26.8
Emails;Meetings (formal setting);National Consultations;Online group messaging platforms (MS Teams,	1	2.4	2.4	29.3
Emails;Meetings (formal setting);Traditional media (newspaper, newsletter, TV, radio);Online group	1	2.4	2.4	31.7
Emails;Meetings (informal setting);Farmer's groups/association meetings;National Consultations	1	2.4	2.4	34.1
Emails;Mobile phone calls;Meetings (formal setting);Meetings (informal setting);Farmer's groups/asso	2	4.9	4.9	39.0
Emails;National Consultations	1	2.4	2.4	41.5
Emails;National Consultations;international Community	1	2.4	2.4	43.9
Emails;National Consultations;Online meeting platforms (MS Teams, Skype, Zoom)	2	4.9	4.9	48.8
Emails;Online meeting platforms (MS Teams, Skype, Zoom)	1	2.4	2.4	51.2
Emails;Social media posts;Meetings (formal setting);Farmer's groups/association meetings	1	2.4	2.4	53.7
Emails;Social media posts;Meetings (formal setting);National Consultations	1	2.4	2.4	56.1
Emails;Social media posts;Meetings (formal setting);National Consultations;Online meeting platforms	1	2.4	2.4	58.5
Emails;Social media posts;Mobile phone calls;Meetings (formal setting);Online group messaging platfo	1	2.4	2.4	61.0
Emails;Social media posts;Mobile phone calls;Meetings (formal setting);Online meeting platforms (MS	1	2.4	2.4	63.4
Emails;Social media posts;WhatsApp messages (individual);Mobile phone calls;National Consultations	1	2.4	2.4	65.9
Emails;WhatsApp messages (individual)	1	2.4	2.4	68.3
Emails;WhatsApp messages (individual);Meetings (formal setting);Meetings (informal setting);Farmer's	1	2.4	2.4	70.7
Emails;WhatsApp messages (individual);Mobile phone calls;Meetings (formal setting);National Consulta	1	2.4	2.4	73.2
Emails;WhatsApp messages (individual);Online group messaging platforms (MS Teams, Slack, WhatsApp, T	1	2.4	2.4	75.6
Farmer's groups/association meetings;National Consultations;Traditional media (newspaper, newsletter	1	2.4	2.4	78.0
Fixed phone line;Emails;Social media posts;WhatsApp messages (individual)	1	2.4	2.4	80.5
Fixed phone line;Emails;Social media posts;WhatsApp messages (individual);Mobile phone calls;Meeting	2	4.9	4.9	85.4
Fixed phone line;Emails;WhatsApp messages (individual);Meetings (formal setting);Meetings (informal	1	2.4	2.4	87.8
Fixed phone line;Emails;WhatsApp messages (individual);Mobile phone calls;Meetings (formal setting);	1	2.4	2.4	90.2
Meetings (formal setting);Farmer's groups/association meetings;National Consultations;Online meeting	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
Meetings (formal setting);Meetings (informal setting);Farmer's groups/association meetings;National	1	2.4	2.4	95.1
Meetings (formal setting);National Consultations;Traditional media (newspaper, newsletter, TV, radi	1	2.4	2.4	97.6
Traditional media (newspaper, newsletter, TV, radio)	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

3.1) What are the top 3 words that you and others in your organization most often associate with stakeholder engagement in climate change processes, or if relevant GCF projects?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Awareness;Capacity;Dialogue	2	4.9	4.9	4.9
Awareness;Capacity;Inclusive	7	17.1	17.1	22.0
Awareness;Capacity;Knowledge	11	26.8	26.8	48.8
Awareness;Capacity;mind shift	1	2.4	2.4	51.2
Awareness;Dialogue;Inclusive	2	4.9	4.9	56.1
Awareness;Dialogue;Knowledge	1	2.4	2.4	58.5
Awareness;Formal;Top-down	1	2.4	2.4	61.0
Awareness;Inclusive;Informal	1	2.4	2.4	63.4
Awareness;Inclusive;Knowledge	1	2.4	2.4	65.9
Awareness;Inclusive;Top-down	1	2.4	2.4	68.3
Awareness;Knowledge;citizen science	1	2.4	2.4	70.7
Capacity	1	2.4	2.4	73.2
Capacity;Dialogue;Inclusive	2	4.9	4.9	78.0
Capacity;Dialogue;Informal	1	2.4	2.4	80.5
Capacity;Dialogue;Knowledge	2	4.9	4.9	85.4
Capacity;Inclusive;Knowledge	2	4.9	4.9	90.2
Capacity;Inclusive;Success	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
Failures;Top-down;late	1	2.4	2.4	95.1
Formal;Inclusive;Top-down	1	2.4	2.4	97.6
Informal	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

3.2a) Which stakeholder groups or organizations do you think have the most influence on *decision making* at consultations/meetings linked to agriculture and climate change, or if relevant GCF projects?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Business (input suppliers, equipment suppliers);Local financial Institutions (development banks, cre	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
Civil society (Community-based groups, consumer association)	1	2.4	2.4	4.9
Donors	1	2.4	2.4	7.3
Government (local);Civil society (Community-based groups, consumer association);Science, academia an	1	2.4	2.4	9.8
Government (local);Farmer's Association or Farmer's Group representative/enhanced farmer coordinatio	1	2.4	2.4	12.2
Government (local);Government (national);Farmer's Association or Farmer's Group representative/enhan	1	2.4	2.4	14.6
Government (local);Other umbrella organisations (processor associations, coalitions, Chamber of Comm	1	2.4	2.4	17.1
Government (national);Civil society (Community-based groups, consumer association);Farmer's Associat	2	4.9	4.9	22.0
Government (national);Civil society (Community-based groups, consumer association);Parliamentarians	2	4.9	4.9	26.8
Government (national);Civil society (Community-based groups, consumer association);Science, academia	2	4.9	4.9	31.7
Government (national);Civil society (Community-based groups, consumer association);Technical officer	1	2.4	2.4	34.1
Government (national);Donors	1	2.4	2.4	36.6
Government (national);Donors;Farmer's Association or Farmer's Group representative/enhanced farmer c	1	2.4	2.4	39.0
Government (national);Donors;Parliamentarians	1	2.4	2.4	41.5
Government (national);Farmer's Association or Farmer's Group representative/enhanced farmer coordina	6	14.6	14.6	56.1
Government (national);International financial institutions;Donors	2	4.9	4.9	61.0
Government (national);International financial institutions;Farmer's Association or Farmer's Group re	2	4.9	4.9	65.9
Government (national);Service providers (Consultants- engineering, management);Civil society (Commun	1	2.4	2.4	68.3
Government (national);Service providers (Consultants- engineering, management);International financi	1	2.4	2.4	70.7
Government (regional);Government (national);Science, academia and research centres (College, Univers	1	2.4	2.4	73.2
Government (regional);Science, academia and research centres (College, University, Training institut	1	2.4	2.4	75.6
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS)	1	2.4	2.4	78.0
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government (local);Farmer's Association or Farmer's Group represe	1	2.4	2.4	80.5
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government (national);Civil society (Community-based groups, cons	1	2.4	2.4	82.9
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government (national);Donors	1	2.4	2.4	85.4
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government (national);International financial institutions	1	2.4	2.4	87.8
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government (national);Local financial Institutions (development b	1	2.4	2.4	90.2
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);Government (national);Parliamentarians	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);International financial institutions;Donors	1	2.4	2.4	95.1
Inter-governmental (CARICOM, OECS);International financial institutions;Farmer's Association or Farm	1	2.4	2.4	97.6
Parliamentarians	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

3.2a RECODE) Influential Stakeholders

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Community	4	9.8	9.8	9.8
	International	13	31.7	31.7	41.5
	National	18	43.9	43.9	85.4
	Sub Regional	6	14.6	14.6	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

3.2b) Why do you think these stakeholder groups or organizations have such an important impact on the process?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Fostering capacity building, training, qualifications;Sharing information on issues and process of i	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
	Political influence and capital;Fostering capacity building, training, qualifications;Providing fund	2	4.9	4.9	7.3
	Political influence and capital;Providing funds for investment	2	4.9	4.9	12.2
	Political influence and capital;Providing funds for investment;Developing technical and non-technica	1	2.4	2.4	14.6
	Providing funds for investment	1	2.4	2.4	17.1
	Raising awareness on key issues	1	2.4	2.4	19.5
	Raising awareness on key issues;Fostering capacity building, training, qualifications;Sharing inform	1	2.4	2.4	22.0
	Raising awareness on key issues;Political influence and capital;Providing funds for investment;Shari	1	2.4	2.4	24.4
	Raising awareness on key issues;Sharing information on issues and process of interest to stakeholde	1	2.4	2.4	26.8
	Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project	2	4.9	4.9	31.7
	Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project;Political influence and capital	1	2.4	2.4	34.1
	Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project;Political influence and capital;	8	19.5	19.5	53.7
	Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project;Raising awareness on key issues	1	2.4	2.4	56.1
	Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project;Raising awareness on key issues;	17	41.5	41.5	97.6
	Supporting effective implementation of a policy, reform or project;Sharing information on issues an	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

3.2b RECODE) WHY do you think these stakeholder groups or organizations have such an important impact on the process?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Informational Power	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
	Informational Power & Communication & Trust	1	2.4	2.4	4.9
	Informational Power & Legitimate Power & Trust	1	2.4	2.4	7.3
	Legitimate Power	2	4.9	4.9	12.2
	Legitimate Power & Informational Power	3	7.3	7.3	19.5
	Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	1	2.4	2.4	22.0
	Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Expert Power	1	2.4	2.4	24.4
	Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power	1	2.4	2.4	26.8
	Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	4	9.8	9.8	36.6
	Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power & Trust	2	4.9	4.9	41.5
	Personal Power & Informational Power & Reward Power	1	2.4	2.4	43.9
	Personal Power & Legitimate Power	1	2.4	2.4	46.3
	Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power	3	7.3	7.3	53.7
	Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Expert Power & Charismatic Power	1	2.4	2.4	56.1
	Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power	2	4.9	4.9	61.0
	Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	1	2.4	2.4	63.4
	Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Informational Power & Reward Power & Trust	1	2.4	2.4	65.9
	Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power	2	4.9	4.9	70.7
	Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	1	2.4	2.4	73.2
	Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Informational Power	2	4.9	4.9	78.0
	Personal Power & Legitimate Power & Reward Power & Informational Power & Expert Power & Trust	2	4.9	4.9	82.9
	Personal Power & Reward Power	3	7.3	7.3	90.2
	Personal Power & Reward Power & Expert Power	2	4.9	4.9	95.1
	Reward Power	1	2.4	2.4	97.6
	Reward Power & Informational Power & Legitimate Power & Expert Power	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

4.1) Communication Method: Climate change processes typically use the right methods of communication (social media, radio, town crier) and ensure they are appropriate to my stakeholder group.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Agree	3	7.3	7.3	7.3
Agree	9	22.0	22.0	29.3
Neutral	21	51.2	51.2	80.5
Disagree	5	12.2	12.2	92.7
Strongly Disagree	3	7.3	7.3	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

4.2) Communication Content: Climate change processes typically engage stakeholders at the appropriate level (information is easily understood and clear).

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Agree	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
Agree	12	29.3	29.3	31.7
Neutral	20	48.8	48.8	80.5
Disagree	8	19.5	19.5	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

4.3) Transparency of project governance structures: Typically, projects clearly explain the decision-making process and promote shared understanding of objectives.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Agree	3	7.3	7.3	7.3
Agree	9	22.0	22.0	29.3
Neutral	23	56.1	56.1	85.4
Disagree	4	9.8	9.8	95.1
Strongly Disagree	2	4.9	4.9	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

4.4) Transparency of information: Typically, projects provide accurate and prompt reports (progress, status updates, key decisions, minutes).

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	2	4.9	4.9	4.9
	Agree	8	19.5	19.5	24.4
	Neutral	24	58.5	58.5	82.9
	Disagree	7	17.1	17.1	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

4.5) Relevance of information: Projects typically provide information and analysis that is technically sound and relevant for my organization or stakeholder group.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	2	4.9	4.9	4.9
	Agree	9	22.0	22.0	26.8
	Neutral	26	63.4	63.4	90.2
	Disagree	4	9.8	9.8	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

4.6) Information Feedback Process: Projects typically provide opportunities for organizations to ask questions, seek clarification and make a contribution.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	3	7.3	7.3	7.3
	Agree	7	17.1	17.1	24.4
	Neutral	24	58.5	58.5	82.9
	Disagree	7	17.1	17.1	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

4.7 Allocation of time for Information exchange: Projects typically allocate sufficient time for issues to be formally raised and addressed, and clearly articulate deadlines for stakeholder review and responses.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
	Agree	11	26.8	26.8	29.3
	Neutral	19	46.3	46.3	75.6
	Disagree	10	24.4	24.4	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

4.8) Honoring of Timelines: Projects typically provide information within the agreed timelines.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	2	4.9	4.9	4.9
	Agree	7	17.1	17.1	22.0
	Neutral	24	58.5	58.5	80.5
	Disagree	8	19.5	19.5	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

4.9) Representation and decision-making authority of minority stakeholders in the Agricultural Sector and Climate change processes typically ensure representation in Agricultural Sector (of women, marginalized groups, and Indigenous Peoples).

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Agree	2	4.9	4.9	4.9
	Agree	10	24.4	24.4	29.3
	Neutral	20	48.8	48.8	78.0
	Disagree	7	17.1	17.1	95.1
	Strongly Disagree	2	4.9	4.9	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

5.1) In your opinion, which of the following conditions is the most important for effective engagement of your organization as a stakeholder?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ability to take decisions	2	4.9	4.9	4.9
	Clarity of goals of stakeholder engagement and means to achieve them	6	14.6	14.6	19.5
	Do not know/Not sure	1	2.4	2.4	22.0
	Financial resources to support the process and outcomes	8	19.5	19.5	41.5
	Human resources available to ensure proper engagement (staff, skills, expertise, capacity developmen	13	31.7	31.7	73.2
	Infrastructure and technology in place to ensure effective engagement (space, technical support too	1	2.4	2.4	75.6
	Integration and responsiveness to stakeholder expectations and interests (women, marginalised groups	4	9.8	9.8	85.4
	Legal framework setting the rules for action	1	2.4	2.4	87.8
	Neutrality of the process	1	2.4	2.4	90.2
	open communication channels so persons can be updated of progress	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
	Quality and accessibility to timely information on issues and process	2	4.9	4.9	97.6
	Sufficient time to manage the process and contribute effectively	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

5.1 RECODE) Most Important Conditions for Effective Stakeholder Engagement

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Authority	2	4.9	4.9	4.9
	Communication	1	2.4	2.4	7.3
	Do Not Know	1	2.4	2.4	9.8
	Financial Capital	8	19.5	19.5	29.3
	Human Capital	13	31.7	31.7	61.0
	Personal Power & Authority	1	2.4	2.4	63.4
	Power & Authority	11	26.8	26.8	90.2
	Technology	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
	Time	3	7.3	7.3	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

5.2) Would you describe the typical stakeholder engagement process to be a more 'top down' or 'bottom up' approach? (For projects/programmes/activities in the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects.)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Extremely Top Down	4	9.8	9.8	9.8
	Somewhat Top Down	13	31.7	31.7	41.5
	Combination	16	39.0	39.0	80.5
	Bottom Up	7	17.1	17.1	97.6
	Extremely Bottom Up	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

5.3a) Have you been involved in any projects/programmes/activities that you believe successfully engaged stakeholders? (In the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects.) IF NO, press 'NEXT' to SKIP TO QUESTION 6.1;

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Do not know/Not sure	5	12.2	12.2	12.2
	Maybe	4	9.8	9.8	22.0
	No	12	29.3	29.3	51.2
	Yes	20	48.8	48.8	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

5.3b) If yes, can you name any projects/programmes/activities that you believe were successful in engaging stakeholders? (In the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects.)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	22	53.7	53.7	53.7
GCP/SLC/211/GFF: Developing Organizational Capacity for Ecosystem Stewardship and Livelihoods in Ca	1	2.4	2.4	56.1
(1) WUSC (Potato project) (1) UNDP Dom/Guy project	1	2.4	2.4	58.5
AP3C Programme	1	2.4	2.4	61.0
Banana Accompanying Measures (BAM) project	1	2.4	2.4	63.4
FAO SIDS ProjectAssisting SIDS to Integrate Agricultural Sectors into NDCS	1	2.4	2.4	65.9
gcca; sustainable farming projects	1	2.4	2.4	68.3
GEF SGP funded community based projects with Caribbean Agriculture Network, Belles Farmers Cooperati	1	2.4	2.4	70.7
Irrigation Project- New river Farmers Co-operative Society	1	2.4	2.4	73.2
n/a	1	2.4	2.4	75.6
NAP and SASAP development	1	2.4	2.4	78.0
National Adaptation plan for the agriculture sector	1	2.4	2.4	80.5
National Adaptation Plan process (NAP), NDC	1	2.4	2.4	82.9
New River Reforestation, Restoration of Nevis Beekeeping	1	2.4	2.4	85.4
not sure	1	2.4	2.4	87.8
OECS Protected Areas and Associated Livelihoods Project	1	2.4	2.4	90.2
Roots and Tubers value chain project	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
SASAP, NAP,	1	2.4	2.4	95.1
The bank is currently piloting a project to test EE and RE under an EPC approach. There were extens	1	2.4	2.4	97.6
we have a few projects proposed/ underway.	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

5.3c) In your opinion, why were those stakeholder engagements successful?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	12	29.3	29.3	29.3
Acceptability Ownership	1	2.4	2.4	31.7
Acceptability Ownership;Stakeholders trust;Beneficiary satisfaction;Broader economic benefits (poli	1	2.4	2.4	34.1
Acceptability Ownership;Stakeholders trust;Beneficiary satisfaction;Relevance to women, marginalise	1	2.4	2.4	36.6
Acceptability Ownership;Stakeholders trust;Broader economic benefits (policy coherence, synergies a	1	2.4	2.4	39.0
Acceptability Ownership;Stakeholders trust;Time saving;Beneficiary satisfaction;Relevance to women,	1	2.4	2.4	41.5
Acceptability Ownership;Stakeholdersâ€™ trust;Beneficiary satisfaction;Broader economic benefits (po	1	2.4	2.4	43.9
Beneficiary satisfaction	1	2.4	2.4	46.3
Capacity development	1	2.4	2.4	48.8
Capacity development;Broader economic benefits (policy coherence, synergies across projects etc.)	1	2.4	2.4	51.2
Cost-saving;Beneficiary satisfaction;Capacity development;Broader economic benefits (policy coherenc	1	2.4	2.4	53.7
Do not know/Not sure	1	2.4	2.4	56.1
outreach	1	2.4	2.4	58.5
representative participation of all stakeholders	1	2.4	2.4	61.0
Stakeholders trust	1	2.4	2.4	63.4
Sustainability Resilience	1	2.4	2.4	65.9
Sustainability Resilience;Acceptability Ownership;Beneficiary satisfaction	1	2.4	2.4	68.3
Sustainability Resilience;Acceptability Ownership;Beneficiary satisfaction;Capacity development;Br	1	2.4	2.4	70.7
Sustainability Resilience;Acceptability Ownership;Capacity development;Broader economic benefits (1	2.4	2.4	73.2
Sustainability Resilience;Acceptability Ownership;Stakeholders trust;Beneficiary satisfaction;Capa	1	2.4	2.4	75.6
Sustainability Resilience;Acceptability Ownership;Stakeholders trust;Relevance to women, marginali	1	2.4	2.4	78.0
Sustainability Resilience;Stakeholders trust;Capacity development	1	2.4	2.4	80.5
Sustainability Resilience;Acceptability Ownership;Beneficiary satisfaction;Capacity development;Bro	1	2.4	2.4	82.9
Sustainability Resilience;Acceptability Ownership;Stakeholders trust;Beneficiary satisfaction;Capac	1	2.4	2.4	85.4
Sustainability Resilience;Acceptability Ownership;Stakeholders trust;Broader economic benefits (pol	1	2.4	2.4	87.8
Sustainability Resilience;Acceptability Ownership;Cost-saving;Beneficiary satisfaction;Capacity deve	1	2.4	2.4	90.2
Sustainability Resilience;Acceptability Ownership;Stakeholders trust;Capacity development	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
Sustainability Resilience;Acceptability Ownership;Stakeholders trust;Cost-saving;Beneficiary satisf	1	2.4	2.4	95.1
Sustainability Resilience;Cost-saving	1	2.4	2.4	97.6
Sustainability Resilience;Stakeholders trust;Beneficiary satisfaction;Capacity development;Relevance	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

5.3C RECODE) WHY stakeholder engagements SUCCESSFUL

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Do Not Know	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
External Factors	2	4.9	4.9	7.3
Internal Factors	7	17.1	17.1	24.4
Internal-External Nexus	15	36.6	36.6	61.0
No Response	12	29.3	29.3	90.2
other	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
Other	3	7.3	7.3	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

6.1) Which option best describes the role of major agencies involved in projects/programmes/activities in stakeholder engagement in climate change processes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Decisions and actions are taken with stakeholders informed but not consulted	4	9.8	9.8	9.8
Decisions and actions are taken with stakeholders neither consulted nor informed	1	2.4	2.4	12.2
design a methodological strategy for stakeholder engagement which is participatory inclusive and res	1	2.4	2.4	14.6
Do not know/Not sure	4	9.8	9.8	24.4
Efforts are made to gain Input and consensus from stakeholders before making decisions and taking ac	19	46.3	46.3	70.7
Formal collaboration takes place with agreements signed that share authority and responsibility for	9	22.0	22.0	92.7
Informal partnerships takes place based on shared authority and responsibility for making decisions	3	7.3	7.3	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

6.3) What do you think is needed to improve stakeholder engagement in future projects/programmes/activities?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Decision-making culture change that includes active involvement, probing, challenging and stimulat	10	24.4	24.4	24.4
Do not know/Not sure	3	7.3	7.3	31.7
Each stakeholder group is provided with background information and resources required for active par	3	7.3	7.3	39.0
Project establishes a process for eliciting community input and viewpoints on needs and opportunitie	2	4.9	4.9	43.9
Project measures and responds to stakeholder interests, perceived trust and perceptions of overall v	1	2.4	2.4	46.3
standardised methodology for conducting Stakeholder engagement	1	2.4	2.4	48.8
Women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples have a voice in governance decisions	1	2.4	2.4	51.2
Women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples have a voice in governance decisions;Each stakehol	1	2.4	2.4	53.7
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust	2	4.9	4.9	58.5
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Decision-making culture change	13	31.7	31.7	90.2
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Each stakeholder group is provi	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
Working relationships among stakeholders are good and based on trust;Women, marginalised groups and	3	7.3	7.3	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

6.2) Which option best describes how you would like to see stakeholder engagement in future projects/programmes/activities? (In the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects.)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid				
Decisions and actions are taken with stakeholders informed but not consulted	1	2.4	2.4	2.4
Do not know/Not sure	3	7.3	7.3	9.8
Efforts are made to gain Input and consensus from stakeholders before making decisions and taking ac	13	31.7	31.7	41.5
Formal collaboration takes place with agreements signed that share authority and responsibility for	20	48.8	48.8	90.2
Informal partnerships takes place based on shared authority and responsibility for making decisions	4	9.8	9.8	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	

6.4) Identify the top three conditions needed to create the desired future of stakeholder engagement in projects/programmes/activities especially for women, marginalized groups and Indigenous Peoples. (In the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation, or if relevant GCF projects.)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Clarity of goals of stakeholder engagement and means to achieve them;Human resources available to en	5	12.2	12.2	12.2
	Clarity of goals of stakeholder engagement and means to achieve them;Legal framework setting the rul	2	4.9	4.9	17.1
	Clarity of goals of stakeholder engagement and means to achieve them;Quality and accessibility to ti	1	2.4	2.4	19.5
	Clarity of goals of stakeholder engagement and means to achieve them;Sufficient time to manage the p	1	2.4	2.4	22.0
	Do not know/Not sure	3	7.3	7.3	29.3
	Financial resources to support the process and outcomes;Clarity of goals of stakeholder engagement a	6	14.6	14.6	43.9
	Financial resources to support the process and outcomes;Human resources available to ensure proper e	9	22.0	22.0	65.9
	Financial resources to support the process and outcomes;Legal framework setting the rules for action	2	4.9	4.9	70.7
	Financial resources to support the process and outcomes;Quality and accessibility to timely informa	1	2.4	2.4	73.2
	following a standard methodology focused on inclusion, participation and informed decision making	1	2.4	2.4	75.6
	Human resources available to ensure proper engagement (staff, skills, expertise, capacity developmen	4	9.8	9.8	85.4
	Legal framework setting the rules for action;Human resources available to ensure proper engagement (1	2.4	2.4	87.8
	Neutrality of the process;Clarity of goals of stakeholder engagement and means to achieve them;Human	1	2.4	2.4	90.2
	Neutrality of the process;Clarity of goals of stakeholder engagement and means to achieve them;Suffi	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
	Neutrality of the process;Clarity of goals of stakeholder engagement and means to achieve them;Trust	1	2.4	2.4	95.1
	Neutrality of the process;Sufficient time to manage the process and contribute effectively;Willingne	1	2.4	2.4	97.6
	Trust of women, marginalised groups and Indigenous Peoples	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	41	100.0	100.0	

7.1a) Who are the key people and or organizations who should be engaged in projects/programmes/activities in the agricultural sector, climate change adaptation projects, or if relevant GCF projects?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	6	14.6	14.6	14.6
, farmers organisations, government lvv	1	2.4	2.4	17.1
All government, CSOs, NGOs, FBOs, academia, other private sector bodies that implement, design, guid	1	2.4	2.4	19.5
CANARI	1	2.4	2.4	22.0
CARDI- dedicated to Agriculture Research on the sector . SIRDI- research. MAFSE- EXTENSION.	1	2.4	2.4	24.4
Climate Change Office, Rural Transformation, National Hydrological Service, Productive Sector	1	2.4	2.4	26.8
Cooperatives, Agricultural Associations, Belize National Indigenous Council	1	2.4	2.4	29.3
Direction Changement Climatique du MinistÃ¨re de l'Environnement, ONG travaillant dans le secteur ag	1	2.4	2.4	31.7
Director Newbold, Keith Phillippe and Dr. Rihanna Neely are the key persons	1	2.4	2.4	34.1
Dr. Eric Browne - Forestry Officer. chizme_21@yahoo.com. 664-7226	1	2.4	2.4	36.6
Dr. Victoriano Pascual - Director of Climate Change and Water Management dir.wmcc@agriculture.gov.bz	1	2.4	2.4	39.0
Dr.Eric Browne (no contacts Details) he has been involved in both Agriculture and Environmental pr	1	2.4	2.4	41.5
Education sector - Ministry, State College, Secondary School Agriculture Dept - to get an understan	1	2.4	2.4	43.9
Financial institution, governments, and private business - Top down leadership involvement.	1	2.4	2.4	46.3
financial sector	1	2.4	2.4	48.8
Forestry Division, Engineering Division, Department of Agriculture	1	2.4	2.4	51.2
GEF-SGP National Coordinator - Agnes Esprit (245 6819), Louis Patrick Hill - Kalinago Territory NGO	1	2.4	2.4	53.7
Marcos Osorio-Chairman Sugar Industry Control Board , Vladimir Puck Chairman Corozal Sugar Cane prod	1	2.4	2.4	56.1
Marine Resources, Department of Environment, Water Department, Physical Planning	1	2.4	2.4	58.5
Ministry of Agriculture	1	2.4	2.4	61.0
Ministry of Agriculture -Dr Al-Mario Casimir , CREAD-Mr. Tony Cyril	1	2.4	2.4	63.4
Ministry of Agriculture extension officers (qualified) (charmaineprice@bahamas.gov.bs), supporting N	1	2.4	2.4	65.9
Ministry of Rural Transformation- coord.rural.dev@labour.gov.bz	1	2.4	2.4	68.3
Mr. Elmo Burke- Senior Met Officer; Elmo.Burke@scaspa.com - to provide the relevant Meteorological d	1	2.4	2.4	70.7
Nevis Department of Agriculture	1	2.4	2.4	73.2
No specific individual, but Farmer's Coops	1	2.4	2.4	75.6
Odré Valbrun (MDE), Kénel Délusca (GIEC), Evens Émmanuel (UNIQ)	1	2.4	2.4	78.0
OECS	1	2.4	2.4	80.5
Planning 4289/Environment 3984	1	2.4	2.4	82.9
Private Sector and Civil Society Organizations such as DAIC (chamber.daic@gmail.com) as the represen	1	2.4	2.4	85.4
PS Agriculture, psagriculture@dominica.gov.dm	1	2.4	2.4	87.8
PS-Ministry of Agriculture, etc-Strong understanding of the Policy direction of the Sector, UNFAO Su	1	2.4	2.4	90.2
sustainable development	1	2.4	2.4	92.7
Tertiary level institutions and research agencies	1	2.4	2.4	95.1

The Ministry of Agriculture and Ministry of Environment	1	2.4	2.4	97.6
Trevor Basden Director Of Meteorological Department with responsibility for weather forecasting	1	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total	41	100.0	100.0	